

iFEST 2018

Learning Analytics with xAPI in a Multinational Military Exercise

Aaron Presnall, Ph.D. President

Who we are



- We are a 501c3 non-partisan, not-for-profit corporation.
- Data visualization experts for over 15 years
- We create and deploy digital tools to improve both formal and informal education.

efferson

Institut

Goal: Operational integration of ADL into Viking 18 – an international exercise.



Objectives:

- Co-production of courses with V18 countries;
- Implementation of xAPI across V18 platforms;
- Setup & secure V18 LRS;
- Implement a multiplatform xAPI dashboard;
- Capture lessons-learned and build a multi-year forward plan on integrating ADL in exercises.

Problem Space



- Blended Learning improves training effectiveness and efficiency in small-n studies; while strategic guidance emphasizes distance learning in training (eg DODI 1322.26)
- Yet, exercises are separate from online learning and learning analytics is not measured against exercise metrics.
- xAPI is a maturing analytics standard but remains largely untested with complex multiplatform asynchronous learning and performance data at scale.





Solution Space



Look at an exercise as total learning experience, capturing all elements, comparing learning and exercise objectives.



Solution Space

Jefferson

Institute

Blend human centered technical innovation with institutional history and organizational transformation.



Stakeholders



A Swedish / U.S. Initiative, Viking 18 is the most recent in a series of exercises initiated at NATO's 50th Anniversary Summit in 1999.

efferson

Institute

A comprehensive and unique collective training opportunity for military, civilian and police.



Stakeholders



It is the most extensive multifunctional exercise of its kind and includes more than 50 countries, 35 organization, and 2,500 participants for 10 days.



Viking 18 is arranged by the Swedish Armed Forces and the Folke Bernadotte Academy, with remote sites in Brazil, Bulgaria, Finland, Ireland, Serbia and Sweden.

Desired Outcome

Jefferson

Institute



 Improved collective training outcomes, partner defense readiness and joint knowledge.



Risk Assessment and Mitigation



Risks:

- LMS is not xAPI compliant;
- Courses are built using various standards;
- Multiple national stakeholders and platforms;

Iefferson

Institut

- Challenging security environment;
- Limited timeframe, high visibility.

Payoffs:

- Partner ADL capabilities increasingly align with US DoDI 1322.26;
- Hard case proof of concept for xAPI implementation;
- Quick iteration of next Viking exercise cycles for action on lessons learned.

Plan of Actions and Milestones

Jefferson

Institute



Bottom Line



The integration of ADL into the Viking 18 exercise was a successful proof of concept - in terms of technology, user demand, cyber security, and operational processes. It is an excellent demonstration of how much we can get done in partnership with Sweden, NATO, and other partner nations.



Technology

The technology is ready:

- xAPI can be extracted from a hard case non-xAPI-compliant LMS;
- the xAPI wrapper works well on newer courses, and its functionality can be replicated across a wide variety of older legacy course content;
- visualizations are capable of managing asynchronous data streams from diverse sources in multiple formats and of various scales;



terson

Institut

• stakeholders are able to deliver data in a secure and timely manner.

Operational Processes

- 29 courses drawn from 6 sources: NATO ACT, JKO, Sweden, Serbia, BiH, and Macedonia.
- ADL is approaching something close to interoperability in course repositories.



efferson

Institut

A "course plan" was built for each V18 unit with a combination of the highly *recommended* Intro to Viking and 2-5 *recommended* courses with content relevant for their role in the exercise.

Cyber security



The secure cloud based LRS and Dashboard remained live, with no intrusions throughout the pre-training and exercise.





The Intro Viking course was downgraded from mandatory to highly recommended, there was little to no advertising of the e-learning opportunities, and creating user accounts for the e-learning platform proved cumbersome at best.





Nevertheless, we saw high demand among exercise participants for e-learning resources, and high demand among both exercise stakeholders and learners for easy access to intuitive data based results/insight.





773 exercises participants successfully created elearning accounts for the V18 LMS, with over 700 course completions and 1,000 additional courses initiated but not completed, spending an average time on site of 5hrs 30 min.





Finland and Sweden were top performers. Top courses completed, in rank order were: Intro to Viking, Gender awareness, Sitaware, Exonaut, UNPKO, and Humanitarian Law. Of these top six, 4 were authored with RADLI countries, and one by JKO.

		PARTICIPANTS FRO	% M COUNTRY NAME		
	LEARNING	DUTCOMES BY TRAI	NING UNIT		
LMS : COMBINED AVERAGED SCORES BY COURSES			CAX OBSERVATIONS: AVERAGE S	CORE FOR ALL LEARNING OBJECTIV	VES
		Excon BFOR HQ UN MNB CIV LCC			
320 240 1		ACC MCC CAOC JLSG	e 20	40 60	80



Dashboard: we offered the only current V18 data on exercise performance (e-learning and experiential via Exonaut observations data) in the Innovation tent.

		OMIN							FIND LEAKNER		Olisie
TRAIN	ING UNITS 🔻 MAR 30, 2018 - JUN 08, 2018										
• E	XERCISE OBSERVATIONS AVERAGE SCORE										•
1											
1.	INCC UNIMUS IN JUSS INFORMQ ILCC IN ACC CADO IN				/						1
				/					-		1
	-			1					1		
		4									
1											
-18-2	018 04-17-2018 04-18-2018 04-	13-2018	64-20-2013		04-21-2058		84-22-200	8	84-25-2018		04-24-2
- 16-2	058 04-17-2058 04-58-2058 04-	19-2018	84-30-3918		04-21-2018		64 22 321	8	04-25-2018		94-24-1
+ 55+2	INTER OF OF OBSERVATIONS)	29-2028	94-25-2018		04-21-2018		04-22 ⁻ 201		64-25-2018		613Å
+ 15+2	VERAGE SCORE (NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS)	19-2018	94-26-2018		94-21-2018	50	84-32-300	2	04-25-2018 80	60	•
-58-2 A	VERAGE SCORE (NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS)	19-2008 19 10 10	04-35-2018 29 05 MINE	30 ASG	04-21-2018 40 BFC	50 JR HQ	04-32-385 80 1.00	70 70 ACC	84-25-2218 80 1 CADC	90	04-24-2 500 CV
A1	NERAGE SCORE (NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS)	19-2011 10 MCC 25.00 (1)	04-30-2018 20 00 PDS 87.03.00	30 A.SG	94-21-2008 40 8FG	50 JR HQ	94-22-255 90 LCC	70 ACC 18.56 (2)	80 30. CAOC 37.06 (t)		04-24-1 04-
A)	INTER OF 27-2018 DF-18-2018 DF- VERAGE SCORE (NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS)	19-2015 39 MCC 25.00 (3) 42.71 (34)	04-39-2018 29 004 PDB 84-25 (0) 54-276 (21)	30 R5G	04-22-2008 40 8FC	59 SR HQ 	84-22/255 60 1 LCC	70 ACC 18.50 (p)	04-25-2018 80 50-00 517.00 (2) 56.00 (2)	90	04-34-3
A1	VERAGE SCORE (NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS)	10-2018 10- 10- 10- 10- 10- 10- 10- 10-	20 20 00 MINS 86235(0) 56275(22)	30 ASG 	04-22-2005 40 850	а (ц) 50 50	94-22-200 90 1.000	70 ACC 38.56 (2)	0-25-2018 80 1 CAOC 31/20 (t) 50/20 (t)	90	04.242
- 58-2 - Al	VERAGE SCORE (NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS)	10-2005 10-2005 10-2006 20-	29 01.795.7958 010 PD45 87.835.00 54.776.(22) 54.776.(22) 54.776.(22)	30 RSG 	40 40 42,2005 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	50 FR HQ - - - - - - - - 	04-32-205 60 LCC	70 ACC 188.50 (2)	04-23-2015 00 1 CAOC 31200 (3) 510.00 (3) -	90	94.24-1 100 COV



Nation pages: offered a useful summary for participant delegations: something clear, immediate and relevant to bring home.

OVERNEEN ALATERNE III ADDRESS COUNTRIES ALETRANING UNITS OCTOL, 2017 - MAY 26, 2018		7100112.000018	C) 100 001
	শ্ব		
Alghamitam	Argentina	daastendine	
Austria	Belgium	Baussia and Herzegevine	
Reveil	Bolgarta	Camerana	
E e Canada	China	Culambia	
Creating	Corefe Republic	Estavia	
Finland	France.	Germany	
deever	Guatemala	Mungary	
Trefand	turael	Taly Staty	
Landa	Lithuarda	Massidania (PVROM)	
Netherlands	Norway	Poland	
Particul	E Romania	Fine Section	
Singspore	Slovakia	Sanden.	
Switzerland	Ukraine	United Kingdom (UK)	
United States of America (USA)	Uruguay		
	- Simisian		
	SED VID		

Lessons Learned



- xAPI is ready for timely secure implementation at scale in a complex multinational system of legacy systems.
- Collective training with partners is fertile ground transformative for innovation.
- E-learning course objectives and exercise objectives should better align.
- Learning analytics should be driven by stakeholder demand signals: learners, operators, planners, strategic actors.
- User access to both learning content and learning analytics must be improved.

Innovators in Action







Project team







Questions/Comments

apresnall@jeffersoninst.org