

Optimizing Outcomes with Immersive Technology | 17 August

Haptic and Virtual Reality Glove Comparison

Madison Quinn and Shane Taber

Engineering & Computer Simulations, Inc.





HAPTIC AND VIRTUAL REALITY GLOVE COMPARISON

WWW.ECSORL.COM

ECS – ABOUT US





CELEBRATING <u>25 YEARS</u> OF PROVIDING INNOVATIVE MISSION-CRITICAL TRAINING

- Entrepreneurial Small Business
- ~80 employees
- Extensive experience in training & simulation for Medical, Aviation, Logistics, First Responders and Emergency Management
- Headquarters in Orlando, FL; with Operations in Huntsville, AL and San Antonio, TX
- Appraised CMMI Level 3













INTRODUCTION



- The U.S. Army is interested in experimenting with haptic glove systems integrated with weapons and other immersive technologies in VR environments to increase realism during CUF performance assessments.
 - Multimodal Study Research 2016-2018
 - Haptics prototype 2018-2020
 - Advanced Haptics SBIR 2020-2022
- Considered Gloves
 - BeBop
 - SensoryX
 - VRgluv
 - AvatarVR
 - HaptX
 - Ultrahaptics

BEBOP DATA FORTE GLOVES



- BeBop's website:
 - Natural hand interaction
 - Providing haptic feedback
 - Lightweight/comfortable
 - Hand tracking
 - \$10,000 per pair
 - (prices are subject to change)



VRGLUV ENTERPRISE





- VRgluv ENTERPRISE website:
 - Immersive touch
 - Realistic interactions in the VR
 - Increase training effectiveness
 - Force feedback of up to 10lbs
 - \$9,000 per pair
 - (prices are subject to change)

HAPTX DK2



- HaptX gloves website:
 - Haptic feedback
 - Uses microfluidic skin that gives true-contact haptics.
 - 40lbs per hand of force
 - The gloves currently cover the entire hand and forearm.
 - \$80,000 per pair
 - (prices are subject to change)



STUDY









RESULTS



0 –N/A to me; 1 – Strongly disagree; 2 – Disagree; 3 – Agree; 4 – Strongly Agree	BeBop Gloves (n=5) Mean (<i>SD</i>)	VRgluv Gloves (n=2) Mean (SD)	HaptX Gloves (n=4) Mean (<i>SD</i>)
I was comfortable with the gloves on my hands.	2.40 (1.02)	3.00 (0.00)	3.50 (0.50)
My fingers had freedom to move while wearing the gloves.	3.00 (0.63)	3.00 (0.00)	3.25 (0.43)
The gloves were manageable to use	2.40 (1.02)	3.50 (0.50)	3.00 (0.00)
The gloves were intuitive to use.	2.80 (1.17)	2.50 (0.50)	4.00 (0.00)
The gloves fit well.	2.25 (0.83)	3.50 (0.50)	3.50 (0.50)
The gloves had adequate force feedback.	2.20 (0.75)	2.50 (0.50)	3.25 (0.43)
The gloves were light weight.	3.40 (0.80)	2.00 (0.00)	2.25 (0.43)
The Integration of the glove functioned as intended	2.00 (1.26)	2.50 (0.50)	3.25 (0.43)
I would recommend the gloves for future research projects.	1.80 (0.75)	2.00 (0.00)	3.75 (0.43)

DISCUSSION



	Benefits
ВеВор	 Gloves have a charge life of four to five hours Gloves are not tethered
VRgluv	 Gloves are not tethered Provides excellent haptics Easy to hold weapon with Gloves hold a charge of two to three hours
HaptX	 Gloves do not require charging because they are connected to system Includes a backpack mode (could be beneficial in a CUF scenario) Does not require calibration (just a quick hand scale for display) Provides excellent haptic feedback

DISCUSSION



	Concerns
ВеВор	 Requires calibration every time scenario is started Difficult time connecting to the system Fingers jitter back and forth in VR Uncomfortable (hands and fingertips) Strap across the back of each of finger is constraining
VRgluv	 Heavier and blocky gloves Software development toolkit needs to be improved Gloves make a particular noise that could affect the realism of the environment Hold a charge for about two to three hours
HaptX	 Heavier gloves Thimbles on the fingers and thumbs cause an issue with grabbing virtual items System needs to be restarted periodically to avoid issues with compressive system Tethered gloves Difficult to use certain weapons due to the magnetic tracking in the gloves

FUTURE RESEARCH



- Comparison to Consumer feedback
- Additional data collection events & more participants
- Different configurations / use cases
- Updates for new glove versions & new systems







QUESTIONS











Research Analyst mquinn@ecsorl.com 407-823-9991

Madison Quinn



Shane Taber
Chief Technology Officer
shanetaber@ecsorl.com
407-823-9991

ENGINEERING & COMPUTER SIMULATIONS
ORLANDO HEADQUARTERS
11825 HIGH TECH AVENUE, #250, ORLANDO, FL 32817

HUNTSVILLE OFFICE 4100 MARKET STREET SW, #100, HUNTSVILLE, AL 35808

Contact us via phone or email at 407.823.9991 | info@ecsorl.com

Visit us online at www.ECSORL.com

Follow us online - <u>facebook</u> | <u>youtube</u> | <u>twitter</u> | <u>linkedin</u>